

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

STEFAN JEREMIAH,

Plaintiff,

- against -

SALEM MEDIA GROUP, INC.

Defendant.

Docket No. \_\_\_\_\_

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Stefan Jeremiah (“Jeremiah” or “Plaintiff”) by and through his undersigned counsel, as and for his Complaint against Defendant Salem Media Group, Inc. (“Salem” or “Defendant”) hereby alleges as follows:

**NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. This is an action for copyright infringement under Section 501 of the Copyright Act and for the removal and/or alteration of copyright management information under Section 1202(b) of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act. This action arises out of Defendant’s unauthorized reproduction and public display of a copyrighted photograph of New York Police Officer Peter Liang, owned and registered by Jeremiah, a New York City based photojournalist. Accordingly, Jeremiah seeks monetary relief under the Copyright Act of the United States, as amended, 17 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

2. This claim arises under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*, and this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant resides in and/or are doing business in New York.

4. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

### **PARTIES**

5. Jeremiah is a professional photojournalist in the business of licensing his Photograph to online, print, and television stations for a fee, having a usual place of business at 231 Norman Avenue, #211, Brooklyn, New York 11222. Jeremiah's Photograph have appeared in many publications around the United States.

6. Upon information and belief, Salem is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with a place of business at 111 Broadway, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, New York, New York 10006. At all times material hereto, Salem has owned and operated a website at the URL: [www.MikeOnline.com](http://www.MikeOnline.com) (the "Website").

### **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

#### **A. Background and Plaintiff's Ownership of the Photograph**

7. Jeremiah photographed New York Police Officer Peter Liang whose was was trial for shooting an unarmed man in Brooklyn, New York (the "Photograph"). A true and correct copy of the Photograph is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

8. Jeremiah then licensed the Photograph to The New York Post. On January 29, 2016, The New York Post ran an article that featured the Photograph on its web edition entitled, *Stairwell shooting cop trained to have gun drawn: fellow officers*. See <http://nypost.com/2016/01/29/stairwell-shooting-cop-trained-to-have-gun-drawn-fellow-officers/>. Jeremiah's name was featured in a gutter credit identifying him as the photographer of

the Photograph. A true and correct copy of the Photograph in the article is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

9. Jeremiah is the author of the Photograph and has at all times been the sole owner of all right, title and interest in and to the Photograph, including the copyright thereto.

10. The Photograph was registered with the United States Copyright Office and was given Registration Number of VA 201-0109.

**B. Defendant's Infringing Activities**

11. Upon information and belief, on March 24, 2016 Salem ran an article on the Website entitled *Glad Cooler Head Prevailed: Brooklyn DA won't seek jail time for cop that accidentally shot and killed a man*. See <http://www.mikeonline.com/glad-cooler-heads-prevailed-brooklyn-da-wont-seek-jail-time-for-cop-that-accidentally-shot-and-killed-a-man/>. The article prominently featured the Photograph. A true and correct copy of the article is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

12. Salem did not license the Photograph from Plaintiff for its article, nor did Salem have Plaintiff's permission or consent to publish the Photograph on its Website.

**FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
**(COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT AGAINST SALEM)**  
**(17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 501)**

13. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1-12 above.

14. Salem infringed Plaintiff's copyright in the Photograph by reproducing and publicly displaying the Photograph on the Website. Salem is not, and has never been, licensed or otherwise authorized to reproduce, publically display, distribute and/or use the Photograph.

15. The acts of Defendant complained of herein constitute infringement of Plaintiff's copyright and exclusive rights under copyright in violation of Sections 106 and 501 of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 106 and 501.

16. Upon information and belief, the foregoing acts of infringement by Salem have been willful, intentional, and purposeful, in disregard of and indifference to Plaintiff's rights.

17. As a direct and proximate cause of the infringement by the Defendant of Plaintiff's copyright and exclusive rights under copyright, Plaintiff is entitled to damages and defendant's profits pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(b) for the infringement.

18. Alternatively, Plaintiff is entitled to statutory damages up to \$150,000 per work infringed for Defendant's willful infringement of the Photograph, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c).

19. Plaintiff further is entitled to his attorney's fees and full costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505.

20. Defendant's conduct, described above, is causing, and unless enjoined and restrained by this Court, will continue to cause Plaintiff irreparable injury that cannot be fully compensated by or measured in money damages. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

**SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
**INTEGRITY OF COPYRIGHT MANAGEMENT INFORMATION AGAINST SALEM**  
**(17 U.S.C. § 1202)**

21. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1-21 above.

22. When the Photograph was published in the New York Post it contained copyright management information.

23. Upon information and belief, Salem copied the Photograph from the New York Post and intentionally and knowingly removed copyright management information identifying Plaintiff as the photographer of the Photograph.

24. The conduct of Salem violates 17 U.S.C. § 1202(b).

25. Upon information and belief, Salem's falsification, removal and/or alteration of the aforementioned copyright management information was made without the knowledge or consent of Plaintiff.

26. Upon information and belief, the falsification, alteration and/or removal of said copyright management information was made by Salem intentionally, knowingly and with the intent to induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal their infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights in the Photograph. Salem also knew, or should have known, that such falsification, alteration and/or removal of said copyright management information would induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal their infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights in the Photograph.

27. As a result of the wrongful conduct of Salem as alleged herein, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Salem the damages, that he sustained and will sustain, and any gains, profits and advantages obtained by Salem because of their violations of 17 U.S.C. § 1202, including attorney's fees and costs.

28. Alternatively, Plaintiff may elect to recover from Salem statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1203(c) (3) in a sum of at least \$2,500 up to \$25,000 for each violation of 17 U.S.C. § 1202.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

1. That Defendant Salem be adjudged to have infringed upon Plaintiff's copyrights in the Photograph in violation of 17 U.S.C §§ 106 and 501;
2. The Defendant Salem be adjudged to have falsified, removed and/or altered copyright management information in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 1202.
3. Plaintiff be awarded Plaintiff's actual damages and Defendant's profits, gains or advantages of any kind attributable to Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's Photograph.
4. That, with regard to the Second Claim for Relief, Plaintiff be awarded either:
  - a) Plaintiff's actual damages and Defendant's profits, gains or advantages of any kind attributable to Defendant's falsification, removal and/or alteration of copyright management information; or b) alternatively, statutory damages of at least \$2,500 and up to \$ 25,000 for each instance of false copyright management information and/or removal or alteration of copyright management information committed by Defendant pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1203(c);
5. That Defendant be required to account for all profits, income, receipts, or other benefits derived by Defendant as a result of its unlawful conduct;
6. That Plaintiff be awarded his costs, expenses and attorneys' fees pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1202(b);
7. That Plaintiff be awarded pre-judgment interest; and
8. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b).

Dated: Valley Stream, New York  
February 14, 2017

LIEBOWITZ LAW FIRM, PLLC

By: /s/Richard Liebowitz  
Richard P. Liebowitz  
11 Sunrise Plaza, Suite 305  
Valley Stream, NY 11580  
Tel: (516) 233-1660  
RL@LiebowitzLawFirm.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Stefan Jeremiah*